

REMARKS

These remarks are set forth in response to the Non-Final Office Action mailed September 13, 2006. As this amendment has been timely filed within the three-month statutory period, neither an extension of time nor a fee is required. Presently, claims 1 through 13 are pending in the Patent Application. Claims 1, 7 and 8 are independent in nature. In the Non-Final Office Action, claims 1-13 have been rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting and on the ground of non-statutory obviousness type double patenting in reference to U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/740,787, U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/741,382, U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/744,284 and U.S. Patent No. 7,099,445.

In response, the Applicants include herewith a duly executed Terminal Disclaimer referencing each of U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/740,787, U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/741,382, U.S. Patent Application S/N 10/744,284 and U.S. Patent No. 7,099,445. Accordingly, the Applicants respectfully request the withdrawal of the cited rejections. The Applicants request that the Examiner call the undersigned if clarification is needed on any matter within this Amendment, or if the Examiner believes a telephone interview would expedite the prosecution of the subject application to completion.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: December 13, 2006

/Steven M. Greenberg/

Steven M. Greenberg, Reg. No.: 44,725  
Attorney for Applicant(s)  
Carey, Rodriguez, Greenberg & Paul, LLP  
950 Peninsula Corporate Circle, Suite 3020  
Boca Raton, FL 33487  
**Customer No. 46322**  
Tel: (561) 922-3845  
Fax: (561) 244-1062